



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CA
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,450	03/28/2005	Karsten Eulenberg	052317-1010	8928

7590 07/06/2007
Scott A Horstemeyer
Thomas Kayden Horstemeyer & Risley
Suite 1750
100 Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30339

EXAMINER

KIM, ALEXANDER D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1656

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/06/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,450	Applicant(s) EULENBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner Alexander D. Kim	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

(1) Alexander D. Kim.

(2) Christopher B. Linder.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NA

Claims discussed:
 Group VI (claims 16, 25-27 and 29).

Prior art documents discussed:
 NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



RICHARD HUTSON, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: All participants agree there is a typographical error in the previous Restriction/Election mailed out on Jan 9th, 2007, i.e., the phrase "nucleic acid encoding" in Group VI should be deleted. Thus, the elected Group VI is drawn to the polypeptide and claims will be examined to the extent of polypeptide encompassed in claims.